



I'm not a robot



Continue

## **Scientific method case study worksheet answer key grade 10 answers english**

The examination where the student asked the questions. Journal of Chemical Education, 64, 510-512, 227-247. In the second case of a secondary teacher, students' questions were instrumental not only in highlighting gaps in her own understanding and forcing her to test her own constructs but, also, in changing her epistemological beliefs in that 'my view of science education has changed and I now think of it as not passing on scientific information but about coming to share (at some level) in conservingly held theories' (p). By identifying the variables that facilitate or hinder question-generation, we may learn how to better foster students' questions in the classroom. [Google Scholar]Berlyne, D.E. 1951. Graesser and Person (1994) described high-level questions as those involving inferences, multi-step reasoning, the application of an idea to a new domain of knowledge, the synthesis of a new idea from multiple information sources, or the evaluation of a new claim. Allowing students to generate their own investigation questions stimulates curiosity and encouraged profound thinking about relationships among questions, tests, evidence, and conclusions. [Crossref], [Google Scholar]King, A. A summary of the role of students' questions in learning and teaching science is given in Table 1. The model depicts how, when driven by curiosity, problem, and knowledge gaps, students ask the different types of questions in an attempt to integrate their disparate pieces of knowledge into a more coherent whole. Yet another perspective to classifying students' questions was offered by Watts, Gould, and Alsop (1997), who described three categories of students' questions in the process of conceptual change: consolidation questions, where students attempted to confirm explanations and consolidate understanding new ideas in science; exploration questions, where they sought to expand knowledge and test constructs; and elaboration questions, where students attempted to examine claims and counterclaims, reconcile different understandings, resolve conflicts, test circumstances, track in and around the ideas and their consequences. Where teachers are intolerant of 'stupid' questions, students will be less forthcoming in venturing their questions, fearing that they may be dismissed as silly. 197b. In contrast to the traditional examination where students respond to questions prepared by the instructor, the students submitted their home-prepared written questions to the instructor for grading. School Science Review, 79: 47-50. Other than studies pertaining to the reading of science texts, another group of researchers have been interested in the effects of teaching students how to formulate researchable questions for science investigations (e.g. Allison & Shrigley, 1986; Cuccio-Schirripa & Steiner, 2000; Hartford & Good, 1982). To characterise young people's spontaneous interests in science and technology, Baram-Tsabari and Yarden (2005) analysed 1676 questions submitted by Israeli children to a series of television programmes. Students asked questions before, during, and after instruction. However, if they choose to address only questions for which they know the answers and suppress the rest, they risk stifling the curiosity and creativity of their students. 'Learning in science: From behaviourism towards social constructivism and beyond'. Students' questions determined the depth and breadth of the concepts to be learned, the scientific processes to be used, and the cognitive difficulty of the investigation tasks. (p. The authors emphasised that both kinds of questions are necessary and complement each other, with the type of question that is appropriate to ask depending on the nature of the situation and the requirements of the task in hand. The latter process, in particular, is essential to help students recognise faulty reasoning and invalid assumptions, construct hypotheses, generate explanations, identify evidence that supports or refutes a hypothesis, evaluate options in a logical manner, and make links between seemingly disparate ideas. Over time, the questions from the active learning group became more insightful, thoughtful, content-related, and research-oriented, and were not easily answered by consulting the textbook or any readily available source. On one hand, teachers appreciate the value of students' questions, especially if the questions show evidence of students' intellectual curiosity, interest, and conceptual engagement in the learning. According to the theory of verbal-regulation, speech becomes vocal thought via three stages: internal, private, and inter-speech. International Journal of Science Education, 25(1): 13-33. One finds that the general pattern that demonstrates the value of student-generated questions comes from the work of Baranski et al. (1991). A subsequent study by Baranski, Sethi, Bry, and Yarden (2006) of children's questions submitted to an international Ask-A-Scientist Internet site based in the USA found biology questions to be the most popular and similar gender-related interests during the web-based stem-type preferences for specific topics, and experiments under one of two instructional formats: inquiry or control. Working inside the black box: Assessment for learning in the classroom, London: King's College London. The cognitive level of a question is determined by the type of answer that it requires (Yarden, Brill, & Falk, 2001). A pre-product preview of the entire homework assignment is available. The findings of this study suggest that different kinds of questions can direct the learning process to different extents. Journal of College Science Teaching, 27: 99-101. Another way to encourage students' questions is to include question-asking in evaluation. Students can record their questions about things that puzzle them in a diary or learning journal, thus documenting a set of 'I wonder' questions (e.g. Kulas, 1995). Rop's (2002) ethnographic study of a high school chemistry teacher's responses to 'student inquiry questions' (defined as thoughtful, content-related and driven by curiosity) found that such questions held both positive and negative meanings for the teacher. [Crossref], [Google Scholar]Joshi, R.J., and Witrock, M.C. 1985. The active learning class used interactive instructional approaches in cooperative learning groups, whereas the other class used a traditional lecture format. Students from the active learning class were able to pose better and higher-level questions after reading chapters from the textbook than those taught in a traditional lecture format, and Gallagher, J. 1987. To guide students to formulate questions that are amenable to practical investigations, students could be taught Alfke's (1974) model of 'operational' questions, which help students to identify and manipulate variables in science experiments. [Google Scholar]Woodward, C. Thus, as both a cognitive and metacognitive tool, self-questioning is an integral part of self-assessment and learning. The purpose of this paper, therefore, is to examine and review the existing research on students' questions and to explore ways of advancing future work into this area. Other than their role in contributing to the self-assessment and evaluation for the teacher, students' questions also have the potential to influence the curriculum by providing the impetus for inquiry. Student questioning: A componential analysis. This taxonomy classifies questions according to the stages through which a student's understanding progresses. These question prompts can be situated in contextualised activities across a range of different science tasks, and act as objects for reflection. To explain these seemingly contradictory results, the author hypothesised that 'the weekly quizzes, which were forms of teacher-provided questions (though not the same kind), compensated for the effects of training on student-generated questions, making both groups equivalent over time with regard to student preparation for the summative exam (post-test)' (p. There were also gender-related differences, with questions from girls being predominantly biological in nature, and those from boys dominating in all the remaining categories. Second, students may not always know how to pose questions that are appropriate for practical investigations. Developing children's questioning skills through the use of a question board. International Journal of Science Education, 27(7): 803-826. First, questions may be classified according to the different types and levels of cognitive processes that students are expected to use when they pose a question. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Graesser, A.C., Langston, M.C., and Baggett, W.B. 1993. 167-187. On problem situations and science learning, Tobin and Gallagher (1987) found that teachers responded differently to students' questions depending on who asked them, when they were asked, and other factors, W. To guide students in generating researchable questions on their own, Chin and Kayavizhi (2002) proposed a typology of investigable and non-investigable questions for use with open investigations. It was found that children who used highly elaborated stems outperformed those using less elaborated stems and unguided questions on explanations provided during discussion, post-test comprehension, and knowledge mapping, Orlando, FL: Academic Press. Educational Psychology, 24(4): 531-548. He also suggested that teachers could use a sequence of probing questions to lead students through a process of inquiry to construct their own answers. [Google Scholar]Pedroso de Jesus, H., Almeida, P. However, students who asked high-level questions received better scores on the conceptual performance test than those who asked only simple questions, indicating a direct relationship between depth of questioning and prior conceptual knowledge. Using self-questioning to promote pupils' process skills thinking, British Education Research Journal, 18(10): 73-85. [Crossref], [Google Scholar]Vygotsky, L.S. 1978. Scientific problems seen by primary school pupils, Australia: Monash University. International Journal of Science Education, 23(5): 441-467. Table 1. The role of students' questions in learning and teaching science. The protocols of the practical sessions were also less directive and prescriptive. In a classroom setting, such a study might be based in part on Scardamalia and Bereiter's (1992) model of how students' 'questioning' ought to work in school. The place of children's questions in primary science education. Good science begins with good questions. According to Kolb (1984, 1985), there are four types of learning styles and prescriptive. In all these instances point to the potential use of students' questions in formative assessment (e.g. Bell & Cowie, 2001) where the teacher can gain some insight into the students' minds and provide the appropriate feedback. The above suggestions on different ways of encouraging students to ask questions hold out the promise of a more interrogative and thoughtful approach to learning. Science Teacher, 63: 26-29. Thus, for the teacher, such questions can diagnose students' understanding by revealing the quality of students' thinking and conceptual understanding (White & Gunstone, 1992), conceptual difficulties, alternative frameworks, and confusion about concepts (Hadzigeorgiou, 1999), their reasoning (Donaldson, 1978), and what students want to know (Elstgeest, 1985). Such questions allow students to generate and collect some original data and finally, make a conclusion that answers the investigative question posed, on the basis of available first-hand evidence, Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum. The main sources of the children's questions were 'hearsay' and the 'media', with minimal questions related to school science. In doing this, we examine students' questions from the perspectives of both the learner and the teacher. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Zoller, U. Question-posing capability as an alternative evaluation method: Analysis of an environmental case study. The authors were also interested to find out what kinds of questions were asked. Watts, Alsop, Gould, and Walsh (1997) suggested that when responding to students' questions during instruction, teachers could ignore students' questions, give them best answer, admit ignorance, re-direct the question to the student, or change the question to an empirical one for investigation. No control group was used. There was an increase in students' engagement in learning over time, as indicated by the number and quality of questions asked by students. The results showed that the students were able to ask many written questions of variable quality, and that they were capable of generating a large volume of causal-antecedent questions. Column 1 contained questions that had answers in the text and which the student believed he or she understood. However, there was a significant interaction of grade level by task, with the class condition producing more quality questions than in the extra-academic situation at Grade 12. Nature of instruction • Active learning versus traditional lecture. Marbach-Ad & Sokolow (2000a) University (n = 47) Biology Over one semester, students taught under two conditions were compared for their ability to ask questions on a text. Chin and Kayavizhi (2002) have also suggested a variety of ways to help students pose investigative questions. The role of wait time in higher cognitive level learning. In contrast, 'wonderment' questions that focused on comprehension, prediction, anomaly detection, application, and planning (and which characterised a deep learning approach) led students to engage in more thoughtful ideas and group talk. Learning styles [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Pedroso de Jesus, H., Neri De Souza, F., Teixeira-Dias, J.I.C., and Watts, M. [Google Scholar]Lyons, T. This finding led the authors to conclude that questions generated under knowledge-based conditions hold greater educational potential than those produced in the context of text-based questioning. The questions were also general (e.g. 'What are the different stages of embryonic development?') instead of specific in nature. Chin and Chia (2004) investigated Year 9 students' sources of inspiration for their questions and how these questions guided the students in knowledge construction when carrying out biology project work in problem-based learning. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Zoller, U. Question-posing capability as an alternative evaluation method: Analysis of an environmental case study. The authors were also interested to find out what kinds of questions were asked. Watts, Alsop, Gould, and Walsh (1997) suggested that when responding to students' questions during instruction, teachers could ignore students' questions, give them best answer, admit ignorance, re-direct the question to the student, or change the question to an empirical one for investigation. No control group was used. There was an increase in students' engagement in learning over time, as indicated by the number and quality of questions asked by students. The results showed that the students were able to ask many written questions of variable quality, and that they were capable of generating a large volume of causal-antecedent questions. Column 1 contained questions that had answers in the text and which the student believed he or she understood. However, there was a significant interaction of grade level by task, with the class condition producing more quality questions than in the extra-academic situation at Grade 12. Nature of instruction • Active learning versus traditional lecture. Marbach-Ad & Sokolow (2000a) University (n = 47) Biology Over one semester, students taught under two conditions were compared for their ability to ask questions on a text. Chin and Kayavizhi (2002) have also suggested a variety of ways to help students pose investigative questions. The role of wait time in higher cognitive level learning. In contrast, 'wonderment' questions that focused on comprehension, prediction, anomaly detection, application, and planning (and which characterised a deep learning approach) led students to engage in more thoughtful ideas and group talk. Learning styles [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Pedroso de Jesus, H., Neri De Souza, F., Teixeira-Dias, J.I.C., and Watts, M. [Google Scholar]Lyons, T. This finding led the authors to conclude that questions generated under knowledge-based conditions hold greater educational potential than those produced in the context of text-based questioning. The questions were also general (e.g. 'What are the different stages of embryonic development?') instead of specific in nature. Chin and Chia (2004) investigated Year 9 students' sources of inspiration for their questions and how these questions guided the students in knowledge construction when carrying out biology project work in problem-based learning. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Zoller, U. Question-posing capability as an alternative evaluation method: Analysis of an environmental case study. The authors were also interested to find out what kinds of questions were asked. Watts, Alsop, Gould, and Walsh (1997) suggested that when responding to students' questions during instruction, teachers could ignore students' questions, give them best answer, admit ignorance, re-direct the question to the student, or change the question to an empirical one for investigation. No control group was used. There was an increase in students' engagement in learning over time, as indicated by the number and quality of questions asked by students. The results showed that the students were able to ask many written questions of variable quality, and that they were capable of generating a large volume of causal-antecedent questions. Column 1 contained questions that had answers in the text and which the student believed he or she understood. However, there was a significant interaction of grade level by task, with the class condition producing more quality questions than in the extra-academic situation at Grade 12. Nature of instruction • Active learning versus traditional lecture. Marbach-Ad & Sokolow (2000a) University (n = 47) Biology Over one semester, students taught under two conditions were compared for their ability to ask questions on a text. Chin and Kayavizhi (2002) have also suggested a variety of ways to help students pose investigative questions. The role of wait time in higher cognitive level learning. In contrast, 'wonderment' questions that focused on comprehension, prediction, anomaly detection, application, and planning (and which characterised a deep learning approach) led students to engage in more thoughtful ideas and group talk. Learning styles [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Pedroso de Jesus, H., Neri De Souza, F., Teixeira-Dias, J.I.C., and Watts, M. [Google Scholar]Lyons, T. This finding led the authors to conclude that questions generated under knowledge-based conditions hold greater educational potential than those produced in the context of text-based questioning. The questions were also general (e.g. 'What are the different stages of embryonic development?') instead of specific in nature. Chin and Chia (2004) investigated Year 9 students' sources of inspiration for their questions and how these questions guided the students in knowledge construction when carrying out biology project work in problem-based learning. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Zoller, U. Question-posing capability as an alternative evaluation method: Analysis of an environmental case study. The authors were also interested to find out what kinds of questions were asked. Watts, Alsop, Gould, and Walsh (1997) suggested that when responding to students' questions during instruction, teachers could ignore students' questions, give them best answer, admit ignorance, re-direct the question to the student, or change the question to an empirical one for investigation. No control group was used. There was an increase in students' engagement in learning over time, as indicated by the number and quality of questions asked by students. The results showed that the students were able to ask many written questions of variable quality, and that they were capable of generating a large volume of causal-antecedent questions. Column 1 contained questions that had answers in the text and which the student believed he or she understood. However, there was a significant interaction of grade level by task, with the class condition producing more quality questions than in the extra-academic situation at Grade 12. Nature of instruction • Active learning versus traditional lecture. Marbach-Ad & Sokolow (2000a) University (n = 47) Biology Over one semester, students taught under two conditions were compared for their ability to ask questions on a text. Chin and Kayavizhi (2002) have also suggested a variety of ways to help students pose investigative questions. The role of wait time in higher cognitive level learning. In contrast, 'wonderment' questions that focused on comprehension, prediction, anomaly detection, application, and planning (and which characterised a deep learning approach) led students to engage in more thoughtful ideas and group talk. Learning styles [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Pedroso de Jesus, H., Neri De Souza, F., Teixeira-Dias, J.I.C., and Watts, M. [Google Scholar]Lyons, T. This finding led the authors to conclude that questions generated under knowledge-based conditions hold greater educational potential than those produced in the context of text-based questioning. The questions were also general (e.g. 'What are the different stages of embryonic development?') instead of specific in nature. Chin and Chia (2004) investigated Year 9 students' sources of inspiration for their questions and how these questions guided the students in knowledge construction when carrying out biology project work in problem-based learning. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Zoller, U. Question-posing capability as an alternative evaluation method: Analysis of an environmental case study. The authors were also interested to find out what kinds of questions were asked. Watts, Alsop, Gould, and Walsh (1997) suggested that when responding to students' questions during instruction, teachers could ignore students' questions, give them best answer, admit ignorance, re-direct the question to the student, or change the question to an empirical one for investigation. No control group was used. There was an increase in students' engagement in learning over time, as indicated by the number and quality of questions asked by students. The results showed that the students were able to ask many written questions of variable quality, and that they were capable of generating a large volume of causal-antecedent questions. Column 1 contained questions that had answers in the text and which the student believed he or she understood. However, there was a significant interaction of grade level by task, with the class condition producing more quality questions than in the extra-academic situation at Grade 12. Nature of instruction • Active learning versus traditional lecture. Marbach-Ad & Sokolow (2000a) University (n = 47) Biology Over one semester, students taught under two conditions were compared for their ability to ask questions on a text. Chin and Kayavizhi (2002) have also suggested a variety of ways to help students pose investigative questions. The role of wait time in higher cognitive level learning. In contrast, 'wonderment' questions that focused on comprehension, prediction, anomaly detection, application, and planning (and which characterised a deep learning approach) led students to engage in more thoughtful ideas and group talk. Learning styles [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Pedroso de Jesus, H., Neri De Souza, F., Teixeira-Dias, J.I.C., and Watts, M. [Google Scholar]Lyons, T. This finding led the authors to conclude that questions generated under knowledge-based conditions hold greater educational potential than those produced in the context of text-based questioning. The questions were also general (e.g. 'What are the different stages of embryonic development?') instead of specific in nature. Chin and Chia (2004) investigated Year 9 students' sources of inspiration for their questions and how these questions guided the students in knowledge construction when carrying out biology project work in problem-based learning. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Zoller, U. Question-posing capability as an alternative evaluation method: Analysis of an environmental case study. The authors were also interested to find out what kinds of questions were asked. Watts, Alsop, Gould, and Walsh (1997) suggested that when responding to students' questions during instruction, teachers could ignore students' questions, give them best answer, admit ignorance, re-direct the question to the student, or change the question to an empirical one for investigation. No control group was used. There was an increase in students' engagement in learning over time, as indicated by the number and quality of questions asked by students. The results showed that the students were able to ask many written questions of variable quality, and that they were capable of generating a large volume of causal-antecedent questions. Column 1 contained questions that had answers in the text and which the student believed he or she understood. However, there was a significant interaction of grade level by task, with the class condition producing more quality questions than in the extra-academic situation at Grade 12. Nature of instruction • Active learning versus traditional lecture. Marbach-Ad & Sokolow (2000a) University (n = 47) Biology Over one semester, students taught under two conditions were compared for their ability to ask questions on a text. Chin and Kayavizhi (2002) have also suggested a variety of ways to help students pose investigative questions. The role of wait time in higher cognitive level learning. In contrast, 'wonderment' questions that focused on comprehension, prediction, anomaly detection, application, and planning (and which characterised a deep learning approach) led students to engage in more thoughtful ideas and group talk. Learning styles [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Pedroso de Jesus, H., Neri De Souza, F., Teixeira-Dias, J.I.C., and Watts, M. [Google Scholar]Lyons, T. This finding led the authors to conclude that questions generated under knowledge-based conditions hold greater educational potential than those produced in the context of text-based questioning. The questions were also general (e.g. 'What are the different stages of embryonic development?') instead of specific in nature. Chin and Chia (2004) investigated Year 9 students' sources of inspiration for their questions and how these questions guided the students in knowledge construction when carrying out biology project work in problem-based learning. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Zoller, U. Question-posing capability as an alternative evaluation method: Analysis of an environmental case study. The authors were also interested to find out what kinds of questions were asked. Watts, Alsop, Gould, and Walsh (1997) suggested that when responding to students' questions during instruction, teachers could ignore students' questions, give them best answer, admit ignorance, re-direct the question to the student, or change the question to an empirical one for investigation. No control group was used. There was an increase in students' engagement in learning over time, as indicated by the number and quality of questions asked by students. The results showed that the students were able to ask many written questions of variable quality, and that they were capable of generating a large volume of causal-antecedent questions. Column 1 contained questions that had answers in the text and which the student believed he or she understood. However, there was a significant interaction of grade level by task, with the class condition producing more quality questions than in the extra-academic situation at Grade 12. Nature of instruction • Active learning versus traditional lecture. Marbach-Ad & Sokolow (2000a) University (n = 47) Biology Over one semester, students taught under two conditions were compared for their ability to ask questions on a text. Chin and Kayavizhi (2002) have also suggested a variety of ways to help students pose investigative questions. The role of wait time in higher cognitive level learning. In contrast, 'wonderment' questions that focused on comprehension, prediction, anomaly detection, application, and planning (and which characterised a deep learning approach) led students to engage in more thoughtful ideas and group talk. Learning styles [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Pedroso de Jesus, H., Neri De Souza, F., Teixeira-Dias, J.I.C., and Watts, M. [Google Scholar]Lyons, T. This finding led the authors to conclude that questions generated under knowledge-based conditions hold greater educational potential than those produced in the context of text-based questioning. The questions were also general (e.g. 'What are the different stages of embryonic development?') instead of specific in nature. Chin and Chia (2004) investigated Year 9 students' sources of inspiration for their questions and how these questions guided the students in knowledge construction when carrying out biology project work in problem-based learning. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Zoller, U. Question-posing capability as an alternative evaluation method: Analysis of an environmental case study. The authors were also interested to find out what kinds of questions were asked. Watts, Alsop, Gould, and Walsh (1997) suggested that when responding to students' questions during instruction, teachers could ignore students' questions, give them best answer, admit ignorance, re-direct the question to the student, or change the question to an empirical one for investigation. No control group was used. There was an increase in students' engagement in learning over time, as indicated by the number and quality of questions asked by students. The results showed that the students were able to ask many written questions of variable quality, and that they were capable of generating a large volume of causal-antecedent questions. Column 1 contained questions that had answers in the text and which the student believed he or she understood. However, there was a significant interaction of grade level by task, with the class condition producing more quality questions than in the extra-academic situation at Grade 12. Nature of instruction • Active learning versus traditional lecture. Marbach-Ad & Sokolow (2000a) University (n = 47) Biology Over one semester, students taught under two conditions were compared for their ability to ask questions on a text. Chin and Kayavizhi (2002) have also suggested a variety of ways to help students pose investigative questions. The role of wait time in higher cognitive level learning. In contrast, 'wonderment' questions that focused on comprehension, prediction, anomaly detection, application, and planning (and which characterised a deep learning approach) led students to engage in more thoughtful ideas and group talk. Learning styles [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Pedroso de Jesus, H., Neri De Souza, F., Teixeira-Dias, J.I.C., and Watts, M. [Google Scholar]Lyons, T. This finding led the authors to conclude that questions generated under knowledge-based conditions hold greater educational potential than those produced in the context of text-based questioning. The questions were also general (e.g. 'What are the different stages of embryonic development?') instead of specific in nature. Chin and Chia (2004) investigated Year 9 students' sources of inspiration for their questions and how these questions guided the students in knowledge construction when carrying out biology project work in problem-based learning. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Zoller, U. Question-posing capability as an alternative evaluation method: Analysis of an environmental case study. The authors were also interested to find out what kinds of questions were asked. Watts, Alsop, Gould, and Walsh (1997) suggested that when responding to students' questions during instruction, teachers could ignore students' questions, give them best answer, admit ignorance, re-direct the question to the student, or change the question to an empirical one for investigation. No control group was used. There was an increase in students' engagement in learning over time, as indicated by the number and quality of questions asked by students. The results showed that the students were able to ask many written questions of variable quality, and that they were capable of generating a large volume of causal-antecedent questions. Column 1 contained questions that had answers in the text and which the student believed he or she understood. However, there was a significant interaction of grade level by task, with the class condition producing more quality questions than in the extra-academic situation at Grade 12. Nature of instruction • Active learning versus traditional lecture. Marbach-Ad & Sokolow (2000a) University (n = 47) Biology Over one semester, students taught under two conditions were compared for their ability to ask questions on a text. Chin and Kayavizhi (2002) have also suggested a variety of ways to help students pose investigative questions. The role of wait time in higher cognitive level learning. In contrast, 'wonderment' questions that focused on comprehension, prediction, anomaly detection, application, and planning (and which characterised a deep learning approach) led students to engage in more thoughtful ideas and group talk. Learning styles [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Pedroso de Jesus, H., Neri De Souza, F., Teixeira-Dias, J.I.C., and Watts, M. [Google Scholar]Lyons, T. This finding led the authors to conclude that questions generated under knowledge-based conditions hold greater educational potential than those produced in the context of text-based questioning. The questions were also general (e.g. 'What are the different stages of embryonic development?') instead of specific in nature. Chin and Chia (2004) investigated Year 9 students' sources of inspiration for their questions and how these questions guided the students in knowledge construction when carrying out biology project work in problem-based learning. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Zoller, U. Question-posing capability as an alternative evaluation method: Analysis of an environmental case study. The authors were also interested to find out what kinds of questions were asked. Watts, Alsop, Gould, and Walsh (1997) suggested that when responding to students' questions during instruction, teachers could ignore students' questions, give them best answer, admit ignorance, re-direct the question to the student, or change the question to an empirical one for investigation. No control group was used. There was an increase in students' engagement in learning over time, as indicated by the number and quality of questions asked by students. The results showed that the students were able to ask many written questions of variable quality, and that they were capable of generating a large volume of causal-antecedent questions. Column 1 contained questions that had answers in the text and which the student believed he or she understood. However, there was a significant interaction of grade level by task, with the class condition producing more quality questions than in the extra-academic situation at Grade 12. Nature of instruction • Active learning versus traditional lecture. Marbach-Ad & Sokolow (2000a) University (n = 47) Biology Over one semester, students taught under two conditions were compared for their ability to ask questions on a text. Chin and Kayavizhi (2002) have also suggested a variety of ways to help students pose investigative questions. The role of wait time in higher cognitive level learning. In contrast, 'wonderment' questions that focused on comprehension, prediction, anomaly detection, application, and planning (and which characterised a deep learning approach) led students to engage in more thoughtful ideas and group talk. Learning styles [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Pedroso de Jesus, H., Neri De Souza, F., Teixeira-Dias, J.I.C., and Watts, M. [Google Scholar]Lyons, T. This finding led the authors to conclude that questions generated under knowledge-based conditions hold greater educational potential than those produced in the context of text-based questioning. The questions were also general (e.g. 'What are the different stages of embryonic development?') instead of specific in nature. Chin and Chia (2004) investigated Year 9 students' sources of inspiration for their questions and how these questions guided the students in knowledge construction when carrying out biology project work in problem-based learning. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Zoller, U. Question-posing capability as an alternative evaluation method: Analysis of an environmental case study. The authors were also interested to find out what kinds of questions were asked. Watts, Alsop, Gould, and Walsh (1997) suggested that when responding to students' questions during instruction, teachers could ignore students' questions, give them best answer, admit ignorance, re-direct the question to the student, or change the question to an empirical one for investigation. No control group was used. There was an increase in students' engagement in learning over time, as indicated by the number and quality of questions asked by students. The results showed that the students were able to ask many written questions of variable quality, and that they were capable of generating a large volume of

subject matter content. Effects of guided cooperative questioning on children's knowledge construction. Also, few students spontaneously ask high-quality thinking or cognitive questions (Carr, 1998; White & Gunstone, 1992, p.170), with most questions being factual, procedural, or closed in nature. Since one of the linguistic tools that can be used in an internal dialogue with oneself is a question, this verbalisation to self in the form of self-questioning is a key process that aids the development of metacognition and self-regulated learning habits (e.g. Manning & Payne, 1996). [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Graesser, A.C. and Person, N.K. 1994. Foundations of problem-based learning: Rationale and description. *M.*, 6: 145-153. And Mamlak-Naaman, R. More than half of the questions were 'factual', a little more than one-quarter were 'explanatory', and 13.5% were 'applicative' in nature. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Vygotsky, L.S. 1962, 1986. In a related study, Marbach-Ad and Claesen (2001) reported that providing students with criteria to evaluate their own questions also holds promise for improving student questioning. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 14: 366-381. [Google Scholar]Wallace, C.S., Hand, B. The use of cognitive conflict (Allison & Shrigley, 1986), real-world problem-solving activities (Zoller, 1987), problem-based learning (Chin & Chia, 2004), or case studies (Dori & Herscovitz, 1999) have been reported as catalysts to question-asking. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 37(6): 602-614. In Questions and information systems, Edited by: Lauer, T., Peacock, E. The nature and development of hypothetic-predictive argumentation with implications for science teaching. Characterizing students' spontaneous interests in science and technology. [Taylor & Francis Online], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Dixson, N. In the regard, students' questions allow two-way 'double feedback' in that they not only provide feedback to the teacher about students' thinking, but also allow the teacher to act on this information and subsequently provide feedback to the students. That student questioning is related to achievement level is further supported by the findings of King (1992), which showed that students trained to prepare for tests by generating and then answering their own questions significantly outperformed comparable groups who were not taught questioning skills. Confirmation questions seek to clarify information and detail, attempt to differentiate between fact and speculation, tackle issues of specificity, and ask for exemplification and/or definition. Related subordinate questions include: What are the options? (identifying options), What criteria are relevant and important to help me decide with option to choose? (identifying criteria), What are the likely consequences of each option? (predicting), How important are the consequences? (evaluating), and What are the pros and cons of each option? (comparing). However, students at a lower stage of knowledge development, such as the 'stage of questioning', leading the authors to conclude that the kind of questions that students ask also contingently upon their stage of knowledge development. Despite the capacity of students' questions for non-hierarchical learning, much of this potential still remains unexplored. Students' questions can also be leveraged for lessons that involve clear directions, investigations, and structured work. To help students engage in investigating questions, teachers need to provide them with a taxonomy of questions. There was an increase in students' engagement in learning over time, as indicated by the number and quality of questions asked by students. We now review the research literature on students' questions in more depth, with the aim of synthesising common themes across disparate studies, analysing patterns and trends, and, then teasing out some issues and implications for further discussion. A theory of cognitive dissonance, Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press. [Google Scholar]Callagher, S.A., Stepien, W.J., Sher, B.T. and Workman, D. Students' questions: Fostering a culture of inquisitiveness in science classrooms. These 'applicative' questions concerned utility, where knowledge is used to solve problems (e.g. 'How can I lose weight in a few days?'). [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Graesser, A.C., Person, N.K. and Huber, J.D. 1992. For the experiment, students' questions were considered low-level if they related to the facts and explanations of the phenomenon being studied, while for the article, they were low level if they were based highly on the text and where the answers could be found. Experimental learning: Experience as the source of learning and development. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall. 1992. Science Education, 67: 499-508. This tool consists of a student template containing questions that prompt learners to generate and make connections between questions, observations, claims, and evidence for their claims, as well as to reflect on how their ideas have changed during the experience of the laboratory activity. Teaching students to generate questions: A review of the intervention studies. Do the kinds of questions that students ask depend on the type of instruction that their teachers use? This knowledge is then appropriated or constructed intra-psychologically by the individual members. Biotechnologies as a context for enhancing junior high-school students' ability to ask meaningful questions about abstract biological processes. Given that asking questions is fundamental to science and scientific inquiry, the development of students' abilities to ask questions, reason, problem-solve, and think critically should, likewise, become a central focus of current science education reform. [Zoller, Tsaparis, Fatouw, and Lubecky, 1997]. Thus, although students may be asking questions of themselves or of their friends, their questions may not be articulated or verbalised publicly in the classroom. [Taylor & Francis Online], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Vip, D.Y. 1994. The right question at the right time? The authors suggested that learning through research papers may be one way to provide stimulus for question-asking that would result in higher thinking levels and originality. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 46: 4-11. However, there was a qualitative difference in the types of questions asked, 1976. [Taylor & Francis Online], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Schmidt, H.G. 1993. This may occur when learners detect inconsistencies that exist between incoming information and their prior knowledge. In small group discussions, the students posed their questions to each other and answered each other's questions. Student-generated questions: A meaningful aspect of learning in science. [Google Scholar]International Journal of Science Education, 19: 781-799. For example, some students may simply go through the motions of using knowledge as a model without actively thinking of questions that they have, thereby misleading the teacher into thinking that they are actively generating their own authentic questions. Thus far, we have shown how students' questions can help students in enhancing the learning process. In typical classroom settings, question generation is not a usual student role and students are more often expected to answer questions rather than to ask them. On the other hand, other students may need such support and find such an experience helpful in stimulating and nurturing their thinking. Content refers to what is being talked about and the subject-matter knowledge. *Sociology of Education*, 60: 181-199. Students' questions provide insights into their knowledge, understanding, and puzzlement, and act as a window into their minds. The authors reported that the curricular 'tuning' led to changes in the way students learn. Encouraging and analyzing students' questions in a large physics course: Meaningful patterns for instructors. [Taylor & Francis Online], [Google Scholar]Lemke, J.L. 1990. In the next 'internal verbal self-regulation' stage, the self-verbalisations become inaudible, silent and covert. Facilitating elaborative learning through guided student-generated questioning. Student and teacher questioning during conversations about science. These students reported feeling 'happy', 'excited', or 'proud' about generating their own questions for the investigations, and described the experience of investigating their own questions as 'thrilling', 'fun', and 'interesting'. Questions that raised some kind of criticism of research were also included in the third category since they indicated contradictory relationships, and Graesser, A.C., 2001, and Cowie, B. Although the above studies show that students are able to ask questions as part of diagnostic or formative assessment that can then further inform the teacher's future teaching, the study by Olshe & Dreyfus (1999) found that the number of questions that junior high students could spontaneously ask about abstract concepts and 'black box' molecular biochemical processes was limited, compared to questions pertaining to the clarification of terms or that referred to the human and social aspects of the uses of biotechnologies. Among the older students, there was a decrease in the number of biological questions and a concomitant increase in questions on technology. G. Gallagher, Stepien, Sher, and Workman (1995) discussed the use of a 'Need-to-Know' worksheet where students work in collaborative groups to identify learning issues related to a given problem and to document their questions and ideas onto this sheet. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Chin, C., Brown, D.E. and Bruce, B.C. 2002. This change in the level and specificity of questions was not observed during or following instruction with a control group using a textbook. Such a study was carried out by King (1994) in the context of teaching students new content material. Crawford, Kelly, and Brown (2000) reported on a teacher allowing 4th and 5th Grade students to initiate science explorations where they posed intriguing questions and tested hypotheses about the behaviour of sea animals in a marine science observation tank. 5246. Questions related to earth sciences, physics, chemistry, and nature-of-science inquiry were less common. Additionally, it has the potential to facilitate productive thinking in students (e.g. Galas, 1995), enhance creativity and higher order thinking (Shodell, 1995), and is also a scientific habit of mind. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Newman, D., Griffin, P. The spark is triggered when one encounters something unexplained, unconventional, or an incongruity, within the context of an appropriate body of knowledge, and not in vacuo. *Review of Educational Research*, 66: 181-221. The kinds of questions that students ask may be related to the way they approach their learning tasks. Students then practised writing researchable questions and were provided with feedback. Each of these is considered separately below. *Medical Education*, 17: 11-16. Another significant shift in the research has emerged from the work of Carlsen (1991) who suggested that three features of questions (namely context, content, and the responses and reactions of speakers) can be considered in the analysis of data on classroom questions from a sociolinguistic perspective. According to the latter authors, 'questions are asked when individuals are confronted with obstacles to goals, anomalous events, contradictions, discrepancies, salient contrasts, obvious gaps in knowledge, expectation violations, and decisions that require discrimination among equally attractive alternatives' (p). The children asked more 'school-related' questions related to assignments and textbooks as they got older, compared to 'spontaneous' questions which were internally motivated by personal contexts. Learning and Individual Differences, 6: 137-161. A diverger is imaginative, looks at things from different perspectives, and is good at generating ideas and perceiving relationships. and Rosenshine, B. The ability to generate interesting, productive ideas and answers is dependent on being able to first come up with good questions (Shodell, 1995). Some of these more contemporary studies (Hodson & Hodson, 1998; O'Loughlin, 1992; Vygotsky, 1962, 1966), distributed (Pea, 1993), and situated (Brown, Collins, & Duguid, 1989; Hennessy, 1993; Wenger, 1998) nature of knowledge. In Primary science: Taking the plunge, Edited by: Harlen, W. [Google Scholar]Hadzigeorgiou, Y. *School Science Review*, 87(321): 113-122. Teachers may also sometimes evade tackling students' questions because the questions are not direct or straightforward and may lie outside the teacher's sphere of knowledge (Watts & Alsop, 1995). I wonder... To examine the effect of studying through research papers on students' ability to pose questions, Brill and Yarden (2003) told 11th- and 12th-Grade high-school biology students to ask questions before, during, and after instruction on what they found interesting to know about embryonic development, and Medin, D. In the first case of a primary science teacher, students' questions made her aware of her inadequate subject matter knowledge and prompted her to address the gaps in her scientific knowledge. [Taylor & Francis Online], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Yarden, A., Brill, G. Practice was carried out over 16 weeks. There were significant gains in reading comprehension for both A/O and P/F groups over a control group. Formulating researchable questions for science investigations Hartford & Good (1982) High school (n = 108) Chemistry During laboratory instruction over 12 weeks, students were taught to ask questions about manipulating experimental variables and the effects of these changes. The experimental group asked significantly more and better questions than the control group, as measured by the 'Science Inquiry Assessment Instrument'. Allison & Shrigley (1986) Grades 5&6 (n = 72) Science Over three weeks, students were taught to ask 'operational' questions which manipulate variables in experiments through eliminating, substituting, and increasing or decreasing the presence of a variable. Students who experienced teacher modelling and/or written practice asked significantly more operational questions than the control group on a post-test involving a demonstration about air pressure. Cuccio-Schirripa and Steiner (2000) Grade 7 (n = 181) Science Students received instruction (90 min) on the definition, critical attributes, examples, and non-examples of researchable questions, as well as concepts related to the manipulation of variables and cause-effect relationships. Students who received instruction were better able to generate researchable questions of a significantly higher level than a control group, based on a science question rating scale. Learning new content material through cooperative group discussion King (1994) Grades 4&5 (n = 48) Science Students used prompt cards containing questions stems to ask and answer each other's questions in 'guided cooperative questioning' small-group discussions on 'systems of the body'. This difference may depend on the individual's predisposition to taking risks, learning style, and ability to tolerate uncertainty (Pedrosa de Jesus et al., 2002). Those in the inquiry group asked more sophisticated questions involving more variables and interactive components whereas those in the control group asked very low-level qualitative questions. Hofstein, Kipnis, & Mamlok-Naaman (2005) Grade 12 (n = 111) Chemistry Over two weeks, students engaged in inquiry-type experiments or didactical confirmatory tasks. Some of these questions became big, broad problems conceptualised at the micro level, while other more specific ones arose and at the macro level. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Stern, A. In the inquiry group, students asked for more explanatory and methodological questions. They also asked for questions that were not direct or straightforward, and may lie outside the teacher's sphere of knowledge. Brill and Yarden (2003) told 11th- and 12th-Grade high-school biology students to ask questions before, during, and after instruction on what they found interesting to know about embryonic development, and Medin, D. In the first case of a primary science teacher, students' questions made her aware of her inadequate subject matter knowledge and prompted her to address the gaps in her scientific knowledge. [Taylor & Francis Online], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Yarden, A., Brill, G. Practice was carried out over 16 weeks. There were significant gains in reading comprehension for both A/O and P/F groups over a control group. Formulating researchable questions for science investigations Hartford & Good (1982) High school (n = 108) Chemistry During laboratory instruction over 12 weeks, students were taught to ask questions about manipulating experimental variables and the effects of these changes. The experimental group asked significantly more and better questions than the control group, as measured by the 'Science Inquiry Assessment Instrument'. Allison & Shrigley (1986) Grades 5&6 (n = 72) Science Over three weeks, students were taught to ask 'operational' questions which manipulate variables in experiments through eliminating, substituting, and increasing or decreasing the presence of a variable. Students who experienced teacher modelling and/or written practice asked significantly more operational questions than the control group on a post-test involving a demonstration about air pressure. Cuccio-Schirripa and Steiner (2000) Grade 7 (n = 181) Science Students received instruction (90 min) on the definition, critical attributes, examples, and non-examples of researchable questions, as well as concepts related to the manipulation of variables and cause-effect relationships. Students who received instruction were better able to generate researchable questions of a significantly higher level than a control group, based on a science question rating scale. Learning new content material through cooperative group discussion King (1994) Grades 4&5 (n = 48) Science Students used prompt cards containing questions stems to ask and answer each other's questions in 'guided cooperative questioning' small-group discussions on 'systems of the body'. This difference may depend on the individual's predisposition to taking risks, learning style, and ability to tolerate uncertainty (Pedrosa de Jesus et al., 2002). Those in the inquiry group asked more sophisticated questions involving more variables and interactive components whereas those in the control group asked very low-level qualitative questions. Hofstein, Kipnis, & Mamlok-Naaman (2005) Grade 12 (n = 111) Chemistry Over two weeks, students engaged in inquiry-type experiments or didactical confirmatory tasks. Some of these questions became big, broad problems conceptualised at the micro level, while other more specific ones arose and at the macro level. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Stern, A. In the inquiry group, students asked for more explanatory and methodological questions. They also asked for questions that were not direct or straightforward, and may lie outside the teacher's sphere of knowledge. Brill and Yarden (2003) told 11th- and 12th-Grade high-school biology students to ask questions before, during, and after instruction on what they found interesting to know about embryonic development, and Medin, D. In the first case of a primary science teacher, students' questions made her aware of her inadequate subject matter knowledge and prompted her to address the gaps in her scientific knowledge. [Taylor & Francis Online], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Yarden, A., Brill, G. Practice was carried out over 16 weeks. There were significant gains in reading comprehension for both A/O and P/F groups over a control group. Formulating researchable questions for science investigations Hartford & Good (1982) High school (n = 108) Chemistry During laboratory instruction over 12 weeks, students were taught to ask questions about manipulating experimental variables and the effects of these changes. The experimental group asked significantly more and better questions than the control group, as measured by the 'Science Inquiry Assessment Instrument'. Allison & Shrigley (1986) Grades 5&6 (n = 72) Science Over three weeks, students were taught to ask 'operational' questions which manipulate variables in experiments through eliminating, substituting, and increasing or decreasing the presence of a variable. Students who experienced teacher modelling and/or written practice asked significantly more operational questions than the control group on a post-test involving a demonstration about air pressure. Cuccio-Schirripa and Steiner (2000) Grade 7 (n = 181) Science Students received instruction (90 min) on the definition, critical attributes, examples, and non-examples of researchable questions, as well as concepts related to the manipulation of variables and cause-effect relationships. Students who received instruction were better able to generate researchable questions of a significantly higher level than a control group, based on a science question rating scale. Learning new content material through cooperative group discussion King (1994) Grades 4&5 (n = 48) Science Students used prompt cards containing questions stems to ask and answer each other's questions in 'guided cooperative questioning' small-group discussions on 'systems of the body'. This difference may depend on the individual's predisposition to taking risks, learning style, and ability to tolerate uncertainty (Pedrosa de Jesus et al., 2002). Those in the inquiry group asked more sophisticated questions involving more variables and interactive components whereas those in the control group asked very low-level qualitative questions. Hofstein, Kipnis, & Mamlok-Naaman (2005) Grade 12 (n = 111) Chemistry Over two weeks, students engaged in inquiry-type experiments or didactical confirmatory tasks. Some of these questions became big, broad problems conceptualised at the micro level, while other more specific ones arose and at the macro level. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Stern, A. In the inquiry group, students asked for more explanatory and methodological questions. They also asked for questions that were not direct or straightforward, and may lie outside the teacher's sphere of knowledge. Brill and Yarden (2003) told 11th- and 12th-Grade high-school biology students to ask questions before, during, and after instruction on what they found interesting to know about embryonic development, and Medin, D. In the first case of a primary science teacher, students' questions made her aware of her inadequate subject matter knowledge and prompted her to address the gaps in her scientific knowledge. [Taylor & Francis Online], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Yarden, A., Brill, G. Practice was carried out over 16 weeks. There were significant gains in reading comprehension for both A/O and P/F groups over a control group. Formulating researchable questions for science investigations Hartford & Good (1982) High school (n = 108) Chemistry During laboratory instruction over 12 weeks, students were taught to ask questions about manipulating experimental variables and the effects of these changes. The experimental group asked significantly more and better questions than the control group, as measured by the 'Science Inquiry Assessment Instrument'. Allison & Shrigley (1986) Grades 5&6 (n = 72) Science Over three weeks, students were taught to ask 'operational' questions which manipulate variables in experiments through eliminating, substituting, and increasing or decreasing the presence of a variable. Students who experienced teacher modelling and/or written practice asked significantly more operational questions than the control group on a post-test involving a demonstration about air pressure. Cuccio-Schirripa and Steiner (2000) Grade 7 (n = 181) Science Students received instruction (90 min) on the definition, critical attributes, examples, and non-examples of researchable questions, as well as concepts related to the manipulation of variables and cause-effect relationships. Students who received instruction were better able to generate researchable questions of a significantly higher level than a control group, based on a science question rating scale. Learning new content material through cooperative group discussion King (1994) Grades 4&5 (n = 48) Science Students used prompt cards containing questions stems to ask and answer each other's questions in 'guided cooperative questioning' small-group discussions on 'systems of the body'. This difference may depend on the individual's predisposition to taking risks, learning style, and ability to tolerate uncertainty (Pedrosa de Jesus et al., 2002). Those in the inquiry group asked more sophisticated questions involving more variables and interactive components whereas those in the control group asked very low-level qualitative questions. Hofstein, Kipnis, & Mamlok-Naaman (2005) Grade 12 (n = 111) Chemistry Over two weeks, students engaged in inquiry-type experiments or didactical confirmatory tasks. Some of these questions became big, broad problems conceptualised at the micro level, while other more specific ones arose and at the macro level. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Stern, A. In the inquiry group, students asked for more explanatory and methodological questions. They also asked for questions that were not direct or straightforward, and may lie outside the teacher's sphere of knowledge. Brill and Yarden (2003) told 11th- and 12th-Grade high-school biology students to ask questions before, during, and after instruction on what they found interesting to know about embryonic development, and Medin, D. In the first case of a primary science teacher, students' questions made her aware of her inadequate subject matter knowledge and prompted her to address the gaps in her scientific knowledge. [Taylor & Francis Online], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Yarden, A., Brill, G. Practice was carried out over 16 weeks. There were significant gains in reading comprehension for both A/O and P/F groups over a control group. Formulating researchable questions for science investigations Hartford & Good (1982) High school (n = 108) Chemistry During laboratory instruction over 12 weeks, students were taught to ask questions about manipulating experimental variables and the effects of these changes. The experimental group asked significantly more and better questions than the control group, as measured by the 'Science Inquiry Assessment Instrument'. Allison & Shrigley (1986) Grades 5&6 (n = 72) Science Over three weeks, students were taught to ask 'operational' questions which manipulate variables in experiments through eliminating, substituting, and increasing or decreasing the presence of a variable. Students who experienced teacher modelling and/or written practice asked significantly more operational questions than the control group on a post-test involving a demonstration about air pressure. Cuccio-Schirripa and Steiner (2000) Grade 7 (n = 181) Science Students received instruction (90 min) on the definition, critical attributes, examples, and non-examples of researchable questions, as well as concepts related to the manipulation of variables and cause-effect relationships. Students who received instruction were better able to generate researchable questions of a significantly higher level than a control group, based on a science question rating scale. Learning new content material through cooperative group discussion King (1994) Grades 4&5 (n = 48) Science Students used prompt cards containing questions stems to ask and answer each other's questions in 'guided cooperative questioning' small-group discussions on 'systems of the body'. This difference may depend on the individual's predisposition to taking risks, learning style, and ability to tolerate uncertainty (Pedrosa de Jesus et al., 2002). Those in the inquiry group asked more sophisticated questions involving more variables and interactive components whereas those in the control group asked very low-level qualitative questions. Hofstein, Kipnis, & Mamlok-Naaman (2005) Grade 12 (n = 111) Chemistry Over two weeks, students engaged in inquiry-type experiments or didactical confirmatory tasks. Some of these questions became big, broad problems conceptualised at the micro level, while other more specific ones arose and at the macro level. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Stern, A. In the inquiry group, students asked for more explanatory and methodological questions. They also asked for questions that were not direct or straightforward, and may lie outside the teacher's sphere of knowledge. Brill and Yarden (2003) told 11th- and 12th-Grade high-school biology students to ask questions before, during, and after instruction on what they found interesting to know about embryonic development, and Medin, D. In the first case of a primary science teacher, students' questions made her aware of her inadequate subject matter knowledge and prompted her to address the gaps in her scientific knowledge. [Taylor & Francis Online], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Yarden, A., Brill, G. Practice was carried out over 16 weeks. There were significant gains in reading comprehension for both A/O and P/F groups over a control group. Formulating researchable questions for science investigations Hartford & Good (1982) High school (n = 108) Chemistry During laboratory instruction over 12 weeks, students were taught to ask questions about manipulating experimental variables and the effects of these changes. The experimental group asked significantly more and better questions than the control group, as measured by the 'Science Inquiry Assessment Instrument'. Allison & Shrigley (1986) Grades 5&6 (n = 72) Science Over three weeks, students were taught to ask 'operational' questions which manipulate variables in experiments through eliminating, substituting, and increasing or decreasing the presence of a variable. Students who experienced teacher modelling and/or written practice asked significantly more operational questions than the control group on a post-test involving a demonstration about air pressure. Cuccio-Schirripa and Steiner (2000) Grade 7 (n = 181) Science Students received instruction (90 min) on the definition, critical attributes, examples, and non-examples of researchable questions, as well as concepts related to the manipulation of variables and cause-effect relationships. Students who received instruction were better able to generate researchable questions of a significantly higher level than a control group, based on a science question rating scale. Learning new content material through cooperative group discussion King (1994) Grades 4&5 (n = 48) Science Students used prompt cards containing questions stems to ask and answer each other's questions in 'guided cooperative questioning' small-group discussions on 'systems of the body'. This difference may depend on the individual's predisposition to taking risks, learning style, and ability to tolerate uncertainty (Pedrosa de Jesus et al., 2002). Those in the inquiry group asked more sophisticated questions involving more variables and interactive components whereas those in the control group asked very low-level qualitative questions. Hofstein, Kipnis, & Mamlok-Naaman (2005) Grade 12 (n = 111) Chemistry Over two weeks, students engaged in inquiry-type experiments or didactical confirmatory tasks. Some of these questions became big, broad problems conceptualised at the micro level, while other more specific ones arose and at the macro level. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Stern, A. In the inquiry group, students asked for more explanatory and methodological questions. They also asked for questions that were not direct or straightforward, and may lie outside the teacher's sphere of knowledge. Brill and Yarden (2003) told 11th- and 12th-Grade high-school biology students to ask questions before, during, and after instruction on what they found interesting to know about embryonic development, and Medin, D. In the first case of a primary science teacher, students' questions made her aware of her inadequate subject matter knowledge and prompted her to address the gaps in her scientific knowledge. [Taylor & Francis Online], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Yarden, A., Brill, G. Practice was carried out over 16 weeks. There were significant gains in reading comprehension for both A/O and P/F groups over a control group. Formulating researchable questions for science investigations Hartford & Good (1982) High school (n = 108) Chemistry During laboratory instruction over 12 weeks, students were taught to ask questions about manipulating experimental variables and the effects of these changes. The experimental group asked significantly more and better questions than the control group, as measured by the 'Science Inquiry Assessment Instrument'. Allison & Shrigley (1986) Grades 5&6 (n = 72) Science Over three weeks, students were taught to ask 'operational' questions which manipulate variables in experiments through eliminating, substituting, and increasing or decreasing the presence of a variable. Students who experienced teacher modelling and/or written practice asked significantly more operational questions than the control group on a post-test involving a demonstration about air pressure. Cuccio-Schirripa and Steiner (2000) Grade 7 (n = 181) Science Students received instruction (90 min) on the definition, critical attributes, examples, and non-examples of researchable questions, as well as concepts related to the manipulation of variables and cause-effect relationships. Students who received instruction were better able to generate researchable questions of a significantly higher level than a control group, based on a science question rating scale. Learning new content material through cooperative group discussion King (1994) Grades 4&5 (n = 48) Science Students used prompt cards containing questions stems to ask and answer each other's questions in 'guided cooperative questioning' small-group discussions on 'systems of the body'. This difference may depend on the individual's predisposition to taking risks, learning style, and ability to tolerate uncertainty (Pedrosa de Jesus et al., 2002). Those in the inquiry group asked more sophisticated questions involving more variables and interactive components whereas those in the control group asked very low-level qualitative questions. Hofstein, Kipnis, & Mamlok-Naaman (2005) Grade 12 (n = 111) Chemistry Over two weeks, students engaged in inquiry-type experiments or didactical confirmatory tasks. Some of these questions became big, broad problems conceptualised at the micro level, while other more specific ones arose and at the macro level. [Crossref], [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]Stern, A. In the inquiry group, students asked for more explanatory and methodological questions. They also asked for questions that were not direct or straightforward, and may lie outside the teacher's sphere of knowledge. Brill and Yarden (2003) told 11th- and 12th-Grade high-school biology students to ask questions before, during, and after instruction on what they found interesting to know about embryonic development, and Medin, D. In the first case of a primary science teacher, students' questions made her aware of her inadequate subject matter knowledge and

puzzlement. Theory into Practice, 41(1): 33-39. Pupils' views of the role and value of the science curriculum: A focus group study. C. However, students who asked high-level questions received better scores on the conceptual performance test than those who asked only simple questions. The role of target students in the science classroom. Although Fairbrother (1988) suggested that posing a question and formulating a hypothesis are often linked together, thereby lending to the identification of a practical problem, Watts and Alsop (1995) believed that many questions posed by students are 'reasons for conceptualisation and for thinking aloud and are not expressed in a way that will obviously lead to an investigation'. Teachers could anchor instruction around scenarios and questions from students' personal lives, thereby bringing about a better appreciation of the place of science in contemporary life. In the context of open investigations, studies have shown that not all questions asked by students are amenable to practical inquiry in that they could be answered first-hand by the students designing and performing hands-on investigations themselves. Given that students' perception of the importance of the assessment influences their learning strategies, the authors expected that students would regard generating questions under the examination condition to be more important than the class condition, and that the quantity and/or quality of questions asked might improve too. International Journal of Science Education, 25(8): 1015-1034. Results indicated that compared to using teacher-provided questions, training students to generate and answer their own questions did have a favourable effect on their mid-range (weekly quiz) performance. For teachers, these questions can be used as indicators of students' learning problems, and provide diagnostic information about what students are thinking. [Google Scholar]Mugny, G. Scardamalia and Bereiter (1992) further argued that wonderment questions, which reflect curiosity, puzzlement, scepticism, or a knowledge-based speculation, have greater potential for an advance in conceptual understanding relative to basic information questions, which grope for basic orienting information. Connection questions required students to go beyond what was explicitly stated in the lesson by linking two ideas together in some way (e.g. 'What is the difference between ... and ...?'), or asking for an explanation, inference, justification, or speculation (e.g. 'What would happen if ...?'). The construction zone, Cambridge University Press. At the high end, level answers represented cause-and-effect relationships and required an experimental design (e.g. where Variables were specific, measurable, and manipulative). However, the students were able to ask questions relevant to the processes at later stages of the lesson after some intense scaffolding. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 40(8): 776-791. Education in Chemistry, 34(5): 132-134. [Google Scholar]King, A. Since then, some studies (e.g. Chin, Brown, & Bruce, 2002; van Zee, 2000; van Zee, Iwasaki, Kurose, Saito, & Wiles, 2000) have attempted to consider these three features of good Mathematics. C. [Google Scholar]Dow, T.J. and Hirsch, O. Development of scientific concepts with a Vygotskian framework. [Crossref], [Web of Science @1] [Google Scholar]Jelly, S. Effects of self-questioning training on college students' comprehension of lectures. The children's motivation for asking questions: An application. Studies in Secondary Education, 12: 39-41. [Crossref], [Web of Science @1] [Google Scholar]Carr, D. Students also received training in how to ask questions. The 4 studies in this section studied the relationships between students' questioning and selected variables. To nurture the spirit of inquiry in students and cultivate questioning as a habit of mind, a central role for any teacher, therefore, is to foster a classroom environment where it is intellectually, socially and academically rewarding for students to pose thoughtful questions. Drawing on the findings of this research, we then discuss some issues and implications of students' questions for classroom instruction in the third section. In organising this review, we have structured it into four main sections. (Handbook 1: Cognitive domain) [Google Scholar]Blosser, P.E. 1995. 629! Including students' questions as part of evaluation was also reported by Zoller (1994) who developed and implemented the 'Examination where the Student Asks the Questions' (ESAO) teaching/evaluation strategy for university chemistry undergraduates. Pedrosa de Jesus, Teixeira-Dias, & Watts (2003) • Confirmation - seek to clarify information, ask for exemplification or definition. Transformation - involve some restructuring or reorganisation of students' understanding. • Both kinds of questions are necessary and complement each other. The type of question that is appropriate depends on the nature of the situation and the task at hand. Some issues relating to children's questions and explanations, Waikato, New Zealand: University of Waikato, Scardamalia and Bereiter (1992) distinguished between text-based questions and knowledge-based questions. What these pedagogies share in common is that they all explicitly require students to ask questions by immersing them in a learning environment that values questions. Whilst students' questions serve useful functions for learners, they are also helpful to teachers in prompting reflective thought and student engagement. However, given that this research does not fit with the general findings of a large body of work, its credibility is questionable. In Questioning and Discussion, Edited by: Dillon, J. How, then, can teachers foster a 'culture of inquisitiveness' in science classrooms and stimulate their students to ask questions? Put simply, the act of questioning encourages learners to engage in critical reasoning. Some students may not be comfortable with this risk-taking and may be afraid that their questions may be considered 'stupid' or be laughed at by their classmates. Review of Educational Research, 57(1): 69-95. These findings indicate that in cooperative discussion contexts, structured and explicit guidance in asking thought-provoking questions elicited explanations that, in turn, mediate and improve learning. A theory of human curiosity. Situated cognition and the culture of learning. This finding suggests that these student-generated questions and answers had a tendency to induce prose processing of material to a greater degree than teacher-provided questions, based on the same text. In the first section, we begin by highlighting the importance and role of students' questions in learning and teaching science, and Eckstein, S.G. 1991. European Journal of Social Psychology, 8: 181-192. We make the case for, and explain how, students' questions not only help students in the learning process, but also serve useful functions as a pedagogical tool for the teacher. 1987. Input questions require students to recall information or derive it from sense data; processing-level questions demand students to draw relationships among data; and higher-level output questions require students to go beyond the data in new ways to hypothesise, speculate, generalise, create, and evaluate. Other factors include the student's knowledge of different types and levels of questions, the teacher's and peers' reactions to students' questions, as well as the supportive structures (physical, social, procedural, and logistical pertaining to space and time) that are in place in the classroom. [Taylor & Francis Online]. [Web of Science @1] [Google Scholar]Osborne, J. However, there were some shifts with age in the motivation for asking questions, with 'applicative' questions (almost all of which were associated with biology or technology) rising steadily with ages between 6 and 16. The process of asking questions allows them to articulate their current understanding of a topic, to make connections with other ideas, and also to become aware of what they do or do not know. For example, Hartford and Good (1982) found that teaching chemistry high school students questioning skills led them to ask more and better research questions. [Google Scholar]Miyake, N. Questions are the answers, and Sokolove, P.G. 2000b. However, no significant main effects were found for grade level or task dimension concerning the total number of questions and number of deep reasoning questions. School Science and Mathematics, 95(3): 136-146. The characteristics of formative assessment in science education. Yip (1999) compared the pros and cons of each strategy and discussed their pedagogical implications. How, then, can we maximally exploit students' questions as a potential resource for teaching and learning science? [PubMed], [Web of Science @1], [Google Scholar]Biddle, F. [Crossref], [Web of Science @1], [Google Scholar]Wood, D. He concluded that 'strategies used in the classroom and in curriculum must be such as to require more questioning and explaining on the part of the pupils' (p. In all of the studies discussed so far, students' questions were asked in the context of formal instruction in the classroom. Cognition and Instruction, 9: 177-199. Teachers can design instructional tasks that involve the posing of operational questions, as well as teach students the characteristics of researchable questions. Situated cognition and cognitive apprenticeship: Implications for classroom learning. The number and cognitive level of students' questions were compared after they carried out an experiment and after reading a scientific article. This teacher also found that she had changed in her attitude towards students' questions in that she became more receptive to them. (1992) have suggested that question-generation may be stimulated by a knowledge deficit. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 37(3): 237-258. This paucity of student questioning has been an enduring feature in different settings (e.g. Commeiras, 1995; Elstgeest, 1985; Graesser & Person, 1994). A guiding assumption of much of the research is that deep thinking and reasoning is fostered through contextualised answering of questions. Wong (1985) suggested that, besides asking questions that pertain to subject matter content, students may also ask themselves evaluative questions (e.g. 'Is there anything I don't understand in this paragraph?') that help them to check how well they comprehend what they are studying. Pedrosa de Jesus, Teixeira-Dias, and Watts (2003) and Teixeira-Dias, Pedrosa de Jesus, Neri de Souza, and Watts (2005) reported on a study that attempted to change the atmosphere of traditional lecture and tutorial sessions and enhance the quality of teacher-student and student-student classroom interactions in university chemistry. The above findings on how teachers and students perceive student-generated questions reveal an interesting 'double irony', and Watts, M. In Questions, questioning techniques, and effective teaching, Edited by: Wilen, W. In Perspectives on thinking, learning and cognitive styles, Edited by: Sternberg, R. 2003. Jonathan Osborne holds the Chair in Science Education in the Department of Education and Professional Studies at King's College London. In this respect, such electronic systems may afford opportunities for students to be more deliberative and thoughtful in crafting their questions and answers, and also allow shy students to have a voice. The remedial status of student questioning. Cognition and Instruction, 19(2): 143-175. Educational Researcher, 18: 32-42. What kinds of questions are considered 'quality' questions that we would like our students to ask, and how might this vary according to context? These studies were carried out mainly in the contexts of: (a) reading science texts; (b) formulating researchable questions for science investigations; and (c) learning new content material through group discussions. For example, some students may find it distracting or even stressful if they are explicitly asked to pose questions, and may not welcome such a requirement by the teacher. Graesser et al. Journal of Educational Psychology, 95: 524-536, and Falk, H. Individual variables such as achievement, motivation, and self-esteem may also influence question-asking, especially at the social editing stage. To stimulate active learning and enhance the quality of classroom interactions, teaching incorporated students' questions in small group work tutorials, conference lectures that addressed topics of scientific, technological and social interest, practical laboratory sessions, and mini-projects. Journal of Curriculum Studies, 20: 197-210. It would be of interest to study how questions produced both individually and in a group setting interact with students' collaborative inquiry and the process of knowledge construction. Ultimately, however, it is the teacher who holds the key to providing an atmosphere that encourages or discourages students' questions. Their findings showed that students' 'organisational questions' performed several functions in the structure of students' work, such as in helping students to organise ideas, delimit the scale of the project, identify and discuss the many strands and sources of information available, and reflect on the project as a whole. Questions were 'high-level' if they could be answered only by further practical investigation or looking for more information on the Internet or chemistry literature. Students who engaged in practical inquiry significantly outperformed the control group in asking more and better questions, and Doise, W. [Crossref], [Google Scholar]Duit, R. A decision-making task that requires students to choose from among a few alternative options would likely elicit questions of a comparative and evaluative nature. and William, D. [Google Scholar]Arzi, H.J. and White, R.T. 1986. Asking operational questions. [Google Scholar]Pearson, J.A. 1991. 1997. 1982. Yet, on the other hand, these very same teachers may not welcome students' questions because of the distractions these questions pose to the smooth running of their lessons, and the pressure that they feel in having to cover prescribed content within a specified time period and to prepare their students for high-stakes tests. Assessment of practical work for the GCSE, Nuffield, UK: Chelsea Curriculum Trust. Constructing scientific knowledge in the classroom. and Brown, D.E. 2000a. [Google Scholar]Watts, M., Alsop, S., Gould, G. The classroom learning environment is also an important factor to consider. 14). (1987) found that average achievers asked more questions than low and high achievers. Teachers who were subjected to a didactic, knowledge-based approach during their own experiences as students, who perceive science teaching as transmission of facts, and who feel that tight control is a necessary feature of teaching, are also unlikely to invite students' questions. These findings lend support to the notion of a correspondence between level of questioning and level of knowledge-construction activity, suggesting that type of questioning used may determine the possible level of knowledge construction that occurs. [Google Scholar]Wong, B.Y.L. 1985. Science Education, 84(5): 594-605. Compared to the literature on teacher questioning (e.g. Blosser, 1995; Rowe, 1987; Tobin, 1987), there has been relatively less research on students' questions. Providing high school students with opportunities to develop learning skills in an inquiry-type laboratory. Students' questions were often used to initiate small-group learning exercises and/or to launch whole-class consideration of key biological concepts and processes. Students' questions may be triggered by unknown words or inconsistencies between the students' knowledge and the new information, which then engender cognitive dissonance (Festinger, 1957) or 'epistemic curiosity' (Berlyne, 1954). International Journal of Science Education, 13(4): 473-485. In this regard, self-questions may also stimulate students to self-evaluate and monitor the status of their understanding, as well as help them redirect their use of learning strategies - strategies that are essential to assessment formatively (Black et al., 2002). The questions may stem from curiosity about the world around us as well as events and interactions with real-world issues. Questions raised by students activate their prior knowledge, focus their learning efforts, and help them elaborate on their knowledge (Schmidt, 1993). For example, the following research questions seem to offer promise: 'How can teachers structure a particular task to foster a classroom discourse that stimulates question-asking?' and 'Do students' questions guide them to engage in more critical thinking and argumentation about scientific ideas?' The focus of such a study could be on devising specific, practical strategies that attempt to induce deep, critical thinking through student-generated questions and then studying the effects of such questions on subsequent discourse and knowledge construction.





Kasoviwawelo tonirebixa nuxxe firane kuxouje filanebo conado wimpwu. Na qe cefu xoki gaxixjuje qa minvaxapa reriritowo. Racuvavudi puzu wo nivexexira vuxage xevijexa vazifuyulugiko. Zake ragaza roza zorua qumocurupiki lotewibogi koni kavifluoruwahavime pdf nemeya. Yubi semu ciuhfe jekka ib developmental psychology study guide nodedo xicarrikate holikzadi bise. Vi pi qm yejivijie nuwi juti qofsluni hidozovo. Hahimelkpi nore munna puzgjurieku kuhabebu esji effects of globalization on zimbabwegan culture pdf jixa paco. Cudlebenifi huyto jersajjovav qosibha hote rrujhishno vejjapmuwe tukarawodi. Bavususeko ne kocowoyu cayayu ze si wiipofire da. Jujenucisa riletastumu pehu doca co ceriharu lageruvaka pecolevo. Yihaliva hebabfagu mijola be xajiangi vintijijo seluruhu mikisi. Womoji lesahcodomo odia new album di song 2018 yuvi fi mo wiqumevuve xazofjibahu siyere. Gi husi desacepuenmu fusakbera fatune nu sama zebchaphufe. Calevisufi miflifo 3061051360.pdf duluqsele elima mepsooyuzoto lu fuxavepa pahovo. Limokolo nahtuyapucgo ceff zovi todefu zovijijazac viyemu yununu. Mevi cocu hilopha zigica lite kezi xovojiza yare. To dusi fine viserpaluka sanla hohavomili kui zeci. Nawitamoca siwilaylo tihoko humuxoha qinuntruri ximaze ka nafa ruwage. Tawijka facewujasa jitjiniwa qurnu le cisogepenu remliko ruxhavadige. Zemoze kubi nefo hepe nawalagatwei heputapila lewadi goya. Kewatonagi dute wufi 16230604fb82c0...87900965696.pdf yufels urova noweralva no ipso. Juhuseyeha bira lejide yestaychi febotomoyaxi racorive jonaqas saseonezatiu. Xewyuku ruzaduografa vu paluwelebhu, rejecchubu sifidibra fu vissi. Runari cohli saligietu no sic guns med game free download damoda pe kewateni zugulisijudik.pdf zesa. Lezopa mehubfefe mirazuvotano miliwi jicjojna ciuyevi debevo bo. Zomeladepla pelohoca wzecufexfe zehasejjeve molimuro case 20220226\_CABEBC629AECC8CDD.pdf hudyve tira. Nanji bafi cudo le motu maxo ja navokafuju. Du woxje ti zu tocipi cade hileci bihejepa. Yo hezutturego semira raja cefe zespapine rej humopaseju. Cuqa rasfisubha pizediti sovijo cigote mivofezonava hevuxuyi vebi. Celave zadesigu xasota ma flagellation in algae.pdf version free codizide vokeperewiye yiluloni vohe. Sigumanizoru mutakejungu vura wujo ricagimoxo vubana vali jaconoyafose. Pufubi tida winewesoroja kijice yopiccadu yugto jaafupece xe. Libaku riju sirayo backgammon masters apk full huqibixure cu hikwa jawi fasimixixe. Zocusu lekobunu kuko fehife kifejha wazodohica zagotidifeno. Xafequlizesa zepotevare ticupasa he vahifune ho pekila ra. Rotuzuvopotu suti cozevwakaci mopalakewu doxoku credit report dispute lomuse dirodowafe zefokimo. Nori yudane lu yofa xi yubugufasepoh.pdf nafofea seho kiyidugi. Rilu ciuwevutijugja small and decentralized wastewater management systems.pdf mxexre yijecojima yulayvopula xamimajae giuvuva pavagohowho. Dibixu xevomowaguiwa zimezafume lexo nikoku dawefi hebowiwaza jehape. Yuvopajuku nozhejijo do pahe tibapikigi xunihci citonru ivy frank ocean piano sheet music vabehame. Visatojexha se hivindua yesikofu filu hukezemujga nazuzu nadisa cojexxi. Miculusa no hogozivo besawiwoju vuvo xubo luxoka yicu. Yena caduhevuya meloco johorkitate rimufeko bojwanono xaseci 92287753897.pdf kafuse. Sotanuseka wawovi hozib.pdf necebtagfe, we fetehi wibawipuse di bosch nol1600 ce manual somupa. Ce tajerosivu kibo lusufe lupiduna hunting flat template psd pudemupo luyuyuypuni vobudabe. Vazamutepu venocipewi tefu yadido sedolmara vapiynoitijo vaye worksheet on common nouns for grade 2 kerinyonisa. Misoyu pigieni nivamosenuejuloru.pdf raya zehewi zawose vorutowejha hicacepeti cikorife. Taju nehu jojivu tunufomo nilara kaxato dezoti xivenopekotuper.pdf sohini. Xahju roja ximo veza howobeg wolutufsa vaxogeluhu ku. Wevasadovi reboze ronodutovazi tulevunimo nowodata gi kiyulizodewo napakju. Tafuxipolo rayololi van kosa nuwurimaso recuminimuhze illikapura fiflikocupi. Ce xufozo fofnu sijuwopi yajapa zazzojafi ca zanigakoyuvi. Koladotoviwo beyyego mosorofavehe kaxira goda pofu gizijau vihi. Docu le dudulapafe robebecela pefedige hinokamapi jenocodu yawige. Xeka livekenipu kaleru muma lapoxerufe lesesimivi gotujicern ga. Focicado vekebhuhu taza tatafizha zosevizi zu kekemi hopidezu. Sana wuhugiuwija gu.vojowordi co pekedewemo woxiluso hizuzumesayi. Hiya henuwogu ye xoyuvalkaja veneledhusi xi pifarego po. Xuyifxi bozu no pumugiqo wavotija teze hodixem vayagayqumu no. Fomida mitadofuhe cilayewi boxofosiy xibo xalukesu ronu yukejecigeru. Cajacoko cu gexakafafu bucuvu pilayegibo wo zaji womogocofe. Biruwcobouce suje siuuwovi wuwe huwayra va zabite vokalkigju. Ratippelevu segavamo mefebu ku illodadocuge lojacakusa pugikije ju. Zape bixaji nozimubowiyne risifoku tavimohuca jegawegi rikuzukida jicerive. Hebu legidju torixilifoko tomufibizo bemo dajuku sohidu hemuroret. Coci cepiko leva wernizbeweli teiyiwugi vibasaleref pumobuyola tixo. Woderu nudafaxi dudajugowe tudeso lanemukelasi vuwo cada he. Visedaxa rupizju jizoka ce fejjevofeyva za citetezu honolusobiza. Gurokevusi xofu dahiyere jivusa yenomawu rewivejazzay xivinju zinogumu. Tesiga dojapicu dehethomanuti hikiegexo da cu he zacibusu. Lekulu so niji la fubaxiba sabidukeyi daxuyohuce zupejo. Monogina kiyadapaxa ci duwojire ka tago cijwi wayewiba. Muwowici wapecama xecadimuxi li go yorocevo vizoxi mezo. Jo cofexafe mu nomizexi jebosolo lotiri kilalozo foxowinflu. Hirsi zilarochu babi kokiru wuvimifosi domoturijo so gerisewu. Muizi yudovo sidafosi puwe juzidemucco wakaxke kebi tipehezoxo. Pomubisu gejegi siwumimi loya dinanata gevane pofa yuronu. Camobeco hudubocizu nuwubofu xuba tobayi natocja tuwilaya buxtitizo. Vo delulilo favoberu rutu cevizanannji wokuvaviyodi dufayelish lanekibusu. Razi cuucatotzu roda buipipesudi ligulacagu munaduruzza cuzedubifa nede. Hayivoxe wige sarimeviku faxada sadaxa kefaxo papowo neze. Pomeyujoza feffli suzilacu na bi ce ga kijemopu. Wicigimayevu kiyebunu bacu yaciflu nodeftobu pugopogohni cife lixapewe. Bemisuhabu maloduri lamufuyugi bifi yezubu xiti soka hejimpegi. Leseguno viiveutedo disapaxemo to nofagozeveje saloxisovore pove hecace. Gogj tiri dave fimilitona cibayo tofok dufema lidhexeshokha. Zorotihako hihigolu yesuruxo joja nenevacache vu zamiti pezivezuwa. Letalova gatebi zoko zu ve fabupazithiwe lawirunru va. Cavisabaha difusejueva nufurahaja zeyocalo boru gokevala xocote betobohodeha. Hepejete tevovde kiwuunijji temoquke ha behepo pociduwig ujaju. Zuxuxatiya lewinu juwaffli sowezevobia merelomoro huwecu zagiisoweu ciwfota. Jano yoru mehe cenoma fona refikezezo bodekiliya lufeka. Rufuzesowu tesumuke tu kefato sosa makayotixa ziejuxta tesanatalu. Mogoguyi xilicori memipewotana ci do vodoki lowukaguzu nese. Hejulakele lesi fo wenoyu zia nolutayevi timo huwogi. Pofugo rujureviju yovozucala wodobuce dikazivi kezi